------ Original Message ------

From: "stuvac stuvac" <stuvac@bigpond.com>

To: "NEWMAN Peter" <Peter.Newman@dnrme.qld.gov.au>; talbot.speechley@ministerial.qld.gov.au

Sent: Thursday, 23 Apr, 2020 At 3:26 PM

Subject: Re: RE: Inquest into death of Daniel Springer

To

Peter Newman

Chief Inspector of Coal Mines

Dear Peter;

I do not understand your reply.

You were the one who stated he had never read the relevant Supreme and Appeals Court Decisions regarding what "Reasonably Foreseeable" means at Law.

Your lack of knowledge and understanding is not my issue, but as I have stated is indicative of the woeful state of Safety in The Coal Mining Industry.

Your lack of action again demonstrates how the Mines Department has totally failed.

In my view, only a true coward without any facts to back up his baseless defences, now hides behind the following statement without even stating what assertions were wrong.

*Your assertions in the email are incorrect and I do not intend to enter into further discussion on the matter.*

*It is quite obvious that you will not reply because I am correct and you cannot defend your assertions/positions*

I repeat again.

If you have any shred of due process, decency and credibility you will actually reply to the multiple complaints.

None has been demonstrated so far by either yourself or the Mines Department.

In my nearly 40 years since I started in the Coal Mines, this is by far the lowest the Mines Department and Mines Inspectorate have sunk.

The Departmental and Inspectorate status is nothing more than apologists for Mining Company non-compliance resulting in injury, illness and death in the States Coal Mines Inspectorate

1) My assumptions and complaints are correct.

2) The Department and you as Chief Inspector are too scared to reply to any of my complaints

3) The Department and you as Chief Inspector are not fulfilling your Duties, Roles and Responsibilities.

4) The Department and you as Chief Inspector are knowingly allowing blatant Non-Compliance to the Coal Mining Act and Regulations to

continue

5) The Department and you as Chief Inspector are knowingly increasing the Safety and Health Risks to Coal Mine Workers and the General Public.

Yours In Safety

Stuart Vaccaneo

------ Original Message ------

From: "NEWMAN Peter" <Peter.Newman@dnrme.qld.gov.au>

To: "stuvac stuvac" <stuvac@bigpond.com>

Sent: Thursday, 23 Apr, 2020 At 10:20 AM

Subject: RE: Inquest into death of Daniel Springer

Stuart,

Thank you for your reference material.

Your assertions in the email are incorrect and I do not intend to enter into further discussion on the matter.

Regards

Peter

Peter Newman

Chief Inspector of Coal Mines

Mines Inspectorate | Resources Safety and Health

Department of Natural Resources, Mines and Energy

P: 07 3199 8004 M: 0436 659 137

E: peter.newman@dnrme.qld.gov.au

A: Level 19, 275 George Street, Brisbane, Qld 4000 | PO Box 15216, City East, Queensland 4002

W: www.dnrme.qld.gov.au

From: stuvac stuvac <stuvac@bigpond.com>

Sent: Thursday, 23 April 2020 7:00 AM

To: NEWMAN Peter; Talbot Speechley

Subject: Fwd: Inquest into death of Daniel Springer

To

Peter Newman

Chief Inspector of Coal Mines

Dear Peter;

During our phone conversation on the 21st of April you expressed apparent confusion about my complaint.

You openly confessed you had not read either the McMurdo Supreme Court Decision delivered on 18th June 2004

https://www.queenslandjudgments.com.au/case-download/id/53228

Or the Queensland Court of Appeals Decision delivered on 27th April 2005

https://www.queenslandjudgments.com.au/case/id/55580

Therefore you do not know or understand what the Legal Interpretation of "Reasonably Foreseeable" is.

How can any person occupy the position of Mine Manager or Site Senior Executive let alone Chief Inspector of Coal Mines without being trained in understanding what "Reasonably Foreseeable" means at Law?

This is the only determination as to what "Reasonable Foreseeable" means in the the Coal Mining Act and Regulations.

I am appalled but not surprised that you do not understand the meaning of Reasonably Foreseeable.

This explains a lot about your attitudes and actions/in-actions as well as the continuing in-effective safety and heath outcomes at the States Coal Mines.

The Complaint is that the legal meaning Reasonably Foreseeable is not being applied at the Grasstree Mine as stated during the Paul McGuire Coronial Inquest.

The discredited David Cliff Interpretation seems to be in place.

As I told you it would be a simple matter for you to contact the SSE at Grasstree Mine and request/demand they forward you the documented definition of Reasonably Foreseeable the Grasstree Mine uses.

A matter of a 24 hour process at most for an effective Chief Inspector.

I hope this clarifies the matter.

Yours In Safety

Stuart Vaccaneo
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